**(WHITE HOUSE “CYBERSPACE POLICY”. The word “DISSENT” appears in said document, used in an alarming way. That fact, coupled with a review of CHINA and UK Cyberspace laws, show documents appear to be similar in content. The structure of the White House document, at times, looks like a cut and paste job or even the partial result of a poor translation attempt.)**

**March 2009, Cyberspace and the National Security of the United Kingdom <http://bit.ly/k0WFeJ>**

**Threats and Responses, A Chatham House Report, Paul Cornish, Rex Hughes and David Livingstone,** [**www.chathamhouse.org.uk**](http://www.chathamhouse.org.uk)

**Detica specialises in collecting, managing and exploiting information to reveal actionable intelligence. We use this capability to help government and commercial clients reveal intelligence, maintain security and strengthen resilience in today’s complex operating environment. Detica delivers projects of significant scale across government and commercial markets in the UK, US and continental Europe. Our principal clients are government agencies responsible for intelligence, security and resilience. We also assist civil government and commercial organisations with a critical national infrastructure remit.** [**www.detica.com**](http://www.detica.com)

**“The project aims to engage government, private-sector, academic and other specialists in high-level analysis of cybersecurity challenges and responses. A national cybersecurity regime should include (yet not direct) a wide variety of actors, agencies and stakeholders, and must be sufficiently agile (yet without losing focus) to meet a rapidly evolving and transforming security challenge. But cybersecurity is a challenge to society as a whole and requires a broad, cooperative multi-agency response.**

**Because cyber security affects all sectors and levels of society, there are fundamental choices to be made as to how responsibility for it should be distributed between the private, commercial and governmental domains. In the sphere of public policy specifically, decisions must be made over which government department should be charged with developing and articulating a policy, and how different aspects of policy should be apportioned among agencies.**

**2008-2010, Cyberspace: A policy Review, White House USA** [**http://1.usa.gov/MsSgi**](http://1.usa.gov/MsSgi)

**The United States needs a comprehensive framework to ensure coordinated response and recovery by the government, the private sector, and our allies to a significant incident or threat. Consequently, a growing array of state and non-state actors are compromising, stealing, changing, or destroying information and could cause critical disruptions to U.S. systems.**

**This approach requires clarifying the cybersecurity-related roles and responsibilities of federal departments and agencies while providing the policy, legal structures, and necessary coordination to empower them to perform their missions.**

**The Nation also needs a strategy for cybersecurity designed to shape the international environment and bring like-minded nations together on a host of issues, such as technical standards and acceptable legal norms regarding territorial jurisdiction, sovereign responsibility, and use of force. The United States needs to develop a strategy designed to shape the international environment and bring like-minded nations together on a host of issues.**

**"Courts CAN intervene 2 shape application of LAW, particularly in areas involving CONSTITUTIONAL #RIGHTS.**

**“The Administration should assist international financial institutions, such as World Bank & International Monetary Fund with necessary info”**

**“The federal government should consider options 4 incentivizing collective action & enhance competition in development of cybersecurity solutions"**

**“Network hardware & software providers, operators, data owners, security service providers, law enforcement or intelligence organizations may have info.”**

**“"New agreements between governments & industry need to be documented to enable international info sharing & strategic operational collaboration"**

[**http://1.usa.gov/MsSgi**](http://1.usa.gov/MsSgi)

**December 31, 1997, China Cracks Down on Dissent in Cyberspace, CHINA** [**http://nyti.ms/mouF3S**](http://nyti.ms/mouF3S)

**The new rules spell out in more detail than before the Government's definition of computer crimes, which include use of the Internet to defame Government agencies, to promote separatist movements or to divulge state secrets.**

**Officials cited a need to ''to safeguard national security and social stability'' as they announced the rules, which are clearly aimed at squelching the rapidly growing use of electronic mail and Web sites by dissidents to spread their message.**

**But the announcement is a warning to dissenters and their sympathizers here and abroad.**

**The Cabinet approved the new rules on Dec. 11. The Government did not publish the text, but Reuters reported that among other things it prohibits using the Internet to ''split the country'' -- Chinese terminology applied to supporters of the Dalai Lama or of formal independence for Taiwan. Another article bans ''defaming of Government agencies,'' which could apply to statements by democracy advocates.**

**The regulation calls for unspecified ''criminal punishments'' and fines of up to $1,800, Reuters reported, and applies to companies providing Internet access as well as to individuals using it.**

**In 1996, inveighing against the ''spiritual pollution'' of China, the Government used its control over the telecommunications system to block access to more than a hundred Web sites, including those of many foreign newspapers, human rights and dissident groups and Taiwanese agencies, as well as sites considered pornographic, like Playboy magazine's**

**E-mail is the Government's real Achilles' heel. Security agents can tap phones and monitor the contents of some among the blizzard of letters, but there is no way to censor them. More likely, a monitoring program could be used to gather evidence against addressees should the Government wish to suppress their access to information.**

{“Find anything you can to marginalize **dissent**, whether by **slander,** intimidation, or spam”.} **“V” Anonymous News Network**

**DISSENT / SLANDER**

**FIRST PLACE ESSAY WINNER Topic DISSENT:** [**http://www.billofrightsinstitute.org/document.doc?id=186**](http://www.billofrightsinstitute.org/document.doc?id=186)

**August, 2003, *Defamation, Libel and Slander Law: Slander involves the making of defamatory statements by a transitory (non-fixed) representation, usually an oral (spoken) representation.*** [***http://bit.ly/BnSbd***](http://bit.ly/BnSbd)

**June 1, 1999, New York Times; Food Slander Laws Stifle Dissent Farmers' Right to Sue Grows, Raising Debate on Food Safety**

**“And a year ago, Vital Health Publishing of Bloomingdale, Ill.,canceled a book, "Against the Grain: Biotechnology and the Corporate Takeover of Your Food," after the manuscript had been sent to the printers. The publisher had received a letter from a lawyer at Monsanto Co. who said he believed the manuscript, which he had not seen, included false statements that would disparage a herbicide called Roundup, made by Monsanto.”**

**"These laws make people wary about what they say," said Rodney A. Smolla, a law professor at the University of Richmond who specializes in First Amendment issues and has criticized the laws. "It is very hard to document people who don't speak. You're documenting silence."** [**http://bit.ly/msc1zK**](http://bit.ly/msc1zK)

**Mar 20, 2009, Profiling and Criminalizing Political Dissent –**

**The New American do you not see how dangerous this kind of slanderous labeling can become? . A recent report issued through the Missouri State Highway Patrol is stirring alarm among citizens and some elected officials that Christians, political conservatives, and opponents of unconstitutional government action are being targeted for intimidation and harassment — or worse. The drafters of the report clearly are attempting to create in the minds of law-enforcement personnel an association between violent “right-wing extremists” and the millions of law-abiding Americans who oppose gun control, the United Nations, the Federal Reserve System, the income tax, illegal immigration, and abortion. You are the Enemy: The militia subscribes to an antigovernment & NWO [New World Order] mind set, which creates a threat to law enforcement officers. They view the military, National Guard, and law enforcement as a force that will confiscate their firearms and place them in FEMA concentration camps.**

**Do you not see how dangerous this kind of slanderous labeling can become? It could affect your flight status when you try to board an airline. It could affect your application for sensitive jobs. It could affect your adjudication before a court or judge. It could make you a target for aggressive law enforcement strategies. It could affect your being able to obtain a passport. It could affect one's ability to purchase a firearm or receive a State concealed weapon permit.**

**The SPLC has been involved for many years in transferring its political bias into federal, state, and local law-enforcement agencies through federal programs such as the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC). The SPLC’s web page on “Law Enforcement Training” boasts: The Intelligence Project regularly conducts in-person trainings for local, state and federal law enforcement officers by request. We focus on the history, background, leaders and activities of far-right extremists in the U.S….**

**Intelligence Project staff have been involved in the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center's hate and bias crime "train-the-trainer" program since its inception in 1992. FLETC trains personnel for more than 75 federal law enforcement agencies and provides services for local, state and international agencies.**

**FLETC invited Intelligence Project personnel to help develop and write courses for a training program to improve the recognition, reporting and investigating of hate crimes. A member of the Intelligence Project staff taught one of the program's first pilot classes in New Jersey in 1994 and continues to instruct FLETC classes today.** [**http://thenewamerican.com/usnews/election/911**](http://thenewamerican.com/usnews/election/911)

**Jun 16, 2009, North Korea says jailed journalists "bent on slander"**

**North Korea said on Tuesday that two U.S. journalists it sentenced to 12 years of hard labor were trying to slander the state, giving its first detailed account of the case that worsened ties with the United States.**

**"At the trial the accused admitted that what they did were criminal acts committed, prompted by the political motive to isolate and stifle the socialist system of the DPRK (North Korea) by faking up moving images aimed at falsifying its human rights performance and hurling slanders and calumnies at it," the official KCNA news agency said.** [**http://reut.rs/le0oTI**](http://reut.rs/le0oTI)

**Feb. 10, 2010, From Deseret News archives: Uzbek filmmaker convicted of slander. Mansur Mirovalev, A. Press**

**MOSCOW (AP) — An Uzbek film director was convicted of slander on Wednesday for making a documentary on wedding rituals in the authoritarian ex-Soviet state, but released on amnesty, the artist and her lawyer said.**

**Umida Akhmedova said the court in the Uzbek capital, Tashkent, found her guilty of slander and "offense through mass media."** [**http://bit.ly/jUSfox**](http://bit.ly/jUSfox)

**{“Find anything you can to marginalize dissent, whether by slander, intimidation, or spam”.} “V” Anonymous News Network**

**DISSENT / INTIMIDATION**

**March 30, 2011,**  The Use of Records Requests to **Chill Dissent and Attack Academics**

Any thought that this might be an isolated response was quickly shattered when similar **requests were made for Wisconsin-related e-mails at three Michigan universities**. Rather than being from the Wisconsin GOP, these were from a right-wing organization called the **Mackinac Center for Public Policy**. They **filed requests for e-mails** of the faculty of the University of Michigan Labor Studies Center, the Douglas A. Fraser Center for **Workplace Issues at Wayne State University**, and the **Labor Education Program of Michigan State University**. The requests cover not only e-mails relating to the Wisconsin clash over the labor rights, but, according to press reports, also any **e-mails mentioning Rachel Maddow**.

Aside from their far right conservative ideologies, the **Mackinac Center and ALEC** have something else in common: Although not well known among the general public, they are part of a network of right wing ideological organizations that have been heavily funded over the years by many of the same small group of wealthy funders, including the billionaire **Koch Brothers,** the ***Coors*** family, the **Scaife family,** and corporate giant **Exxon Mobil.** [**http://bit.ly/ephEMB**](http://bit.ly/ephEMB)

***(May 9, 2011)* ... 12/04/09 [*2 different dates, LOOKS LIKE EXAMINER PULLED AN OLD ARTICLE*]**

**Iran** cracks down **on student dissent**, The **Examiner**  World

As they gear up for a major **anti-government protest** Monday, Iranian students are besieged by a clampdown in the universities, with a wave of **arrests and expulsions**. At the same time, authorities are **intensifying enforcement of Islamic morals on women's dress and men's hair length as a way to punish political dissent.** [**http://bit.ly/jwcS3B**](http://bit.ly/jwcS3B)

**Mar 13, 2009** ... Response: Surveillance is a sinister force and a judicial review challenging the methods is overdue, says Linda Catt.

You say that "Overt surveillance by police forward intelligence teams (FITs) or evidence gatherers (EGs) is designed to record potential criminal activity and gather useful intelligence." But to me it seems clear that it is designed to **intimidate people** and **prevent lawful dissent.** [**http://bit.ly/NiD3B**](http://bit.ly/NiD3B)

**Apr 7, 2007** ... Climate of Fear: Global-warming alarmists **intimidate** **dissenting scientists into silence**.

But there is a more sinister side to this feeding frenzy. Scientists who **dissent from the alarmism** have seen their **grant funds** **disappear, their work derided, and themselves libeled as industry stooges, scientific hacks or worse**. Consequently, lies about climate change gain credence even when they fly in the face of the science that supposedly is their basis. [**http://bit.ly/l2zbVu**](http://bit.ly/l2zbVu)

**{“Find anything you can to marginalize dissent, whether by slander, intimidation, or spam”.} “V” Anonymous News Network**

**DISSENT / SPAM**

**April 27, 2004 “dissent on spam”** [**http://bit.ly/lQ6n2W**](http://bit.ly/lQ6n2W)

**May 20, 2011 Privacy News – PogoWasRight.org (Posts by ----- Dissent)**

**[LOOKS LIKE GROUP OF ARTICLES WHICH COULD BE COUNT AS DISSENT ]** [**http://bit.ly/mezVLD**](http://bit.ly/mezVLD)

**Mar 30, 2004 ... Spamhaus strongly encourages the use of DROP by tier-1s and backbones. I'm sure it will never be abused to block people with dissenting .. The Spamhaus Don't Route Or Peer List** [**http://bit.ly/mUnmS3**](http://bit.ly/mUnmS3)

**A recent unpublished California Court of Appeal decision supported Spamhaus on this point: Pallorium, Inc. v. Jared, No. G036124, 2007 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 241 (Cal. Ct. App. Jan. 11, 2007).**

**Pallorium, Inc. (the plaintiff – the one not aligned with Spamhaus's position) filed a Petition for Review Congress did not assist the public by defining the term "technical means." The issue before this Court, which seems to be one of first impression, is whether the distribution of a list fits the meaning of the term "technical means." A review of federal decisional authorities using the term "technical means" suggests that something more than a list is required.** [**http://bit.ly/lfSCU5**](http://bit.ly/lfSCU5)

**.. The ASC filled the void left by the dissolution of the Consortium of Anti-Spyware Technology Vendors (COAST) which broke up over internal dissent. ...**

**Anti-Spyware Coalition Members, 2007 were:** [**http://bit.ly/mxvwex**](http://bit.ly/mxvwex)

**China to Issue Anti-Spam Law - MarketingVOX**

**It was bad enough to have to go through their own email and delete spam, ... an eye on dissent, spam really stops up a patriotic, revolutionary ministry. ...** [**www.marketingvox.com/china\_to\_issue\_antispam\_law-015176/**](http://www.marketingvox.com/china_to_issue_antispam_law-015176/)